

SARS-CoV-2-related Guillain-Barré syndrome requires comprehensive diagnostic and therapeutic care

Ibrahim Abdelazim¹, Ainur Donayeva², Akzhunus Mannapova³

1. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt
2. Department of Normal Physiology, West Kazakhstan Marat Ospanov Medical University, Aktobe, Kazakhstan
3. Department of Neurology, West Kazakhstan Marat Ospanov Medical University, Aktobe, Kazakhstan

* Corresponding author

Ibrahim Abdelazim
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology,
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University,
Cairo, Egypt
E-mail: dr.ibrahimanwar@gmail.com

DOI

10.25122/jml-2024-1002

Dates

Received: 15 January 2024

Accepted: 16 January 2024

DEAR EDITOR,

We appreciate the opportunity to address the comments and questions raised regarding our case report on Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS) and Miller Fisher syndrome (MFS) following SARS-CoV-2 infection [1]. Our case report focused on presenting the relationship between GBS and COVID-19, aiming to highlight the potential neurological complications associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection.

The case of Patient 1

Patient 1 presented with a history of urinary tract infection (UTI) 3 weeks before the onset of GBS symptoms caused by *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), which accounts for 80% of uncomplicated UTIs [2]. While *E. coli* UTIs are not traditionally associated with GBS, we conducted extensive testing to rule out more common pathogens linked to GBS, such as *Campylobacter jejuni*, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, and various viruses [3], before establishing a diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2-related GBS. The absence of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) testing, as per the Brighton criteria, was a limitation of this study. We recognize the critical role of CSF testing in diagnosing GBS; however, a systematic review highlighted that a significant percentage of adults (26.6%) did not undergo this test, reflecting a wider issue in the current clinical scenario [4]. This is a relevant limitation because CSF testing is very important for accurate diagnosis and subsequent treatment, especially in critically ill patients.

The case of Patient 2

The diagnosis of MFS in Patient 2 was based on neurological examination, which showed bilateral facial paresis and ptosis, with dysphonia, dysphagia, and quadriplegia (Medical Research Council scale [5], 1/5 proximal and 0/5 distal), hypotonia, absent hand and foot reflexes with a positive Babinski sign. The electro-neurography showed acute demyelinating polyneuropathy (nerve latency delay affecting sensory and motor nerves, decreased conduction velocity, and amplitude of the action potential). The CSF examination following lumbar puncture showed elevated CSF

protein without pleocytosis [6] and increased immunoglobulin (mainly anti-GQ1b IgG)/albumin ratio [7]. The case of Patient 2 may be considered an atypical form of MFS based on bilateral ptosis, decreased tendon reflexes, and elevated CSF protein levels (increased CSF immunoglobulin (mainly anti-GQ1b IgG) [7] without pleocytosis [6]). The diagnosis of an atypical MFS in Patient 2 was confirmed by the increased CSF immunoglobulin (anti-GQ1b IgG), which has 85% sensitivity and 100% specificity for diagnosing MFS [8]. Atypical forms of MFS have also been reported with unilateral or bilateral ophthalmoplegia, bilateral abducens palsy, and/or isolated bilateral ptosis [9,10]. Patient 2 was mechanically ventilated when she developed severe respiratory distress, and she died because of respiratory failure following severe bilateral pneumonia and interstitial pneumonitis caused by SARS-CoV-2, despite all supportive treatments before any attempts for extracorporeal membrane oxygenation and/or plasma exchange [1].

The immune response to SARS-CoV-2

The immune response to SARS-CoV-2 involves the production of antibodies against the lipo-oligosaccharides of the virus, which are similar in structure to gangliosides (GQ1b, GM1, and GD1a) located on the neuronal cell surface [5]. The antibodies of the host against the lipo-oligosaccharides of the SARS-CoV-2 membrane cross-react with the gangliosides (GQ1b, GM1, and GD1a) located on the neuronal cell surface, with the subsequent autoimmune destruction of myelin sheaths and/or nerve axons [3]. Antibodies against GM1 or GD1b were detected in classic GBS, whereas antibodies against GQ1b were detected in MFS [5].

CONCLUSION

Our case report aimed to inform healthcare providers about the potential neurological implications of SARS-CoV-2 infection. However, it is important to acknowledge the limitations of our findings. The diagnosis of GBS in Patient 1 was based on clinical presentation and nerve conduction studies without the key confirmation of elevated CSF protein, as outlined by the Brighton criteria. In addition, Patient 2 may be considered an atypical

form of MFS rather than classical MFS. Finally, we hope that our reply highlighted the relationship between GBS and SARS-CoV-2 for healthcare providers, emphasizing the need to be prepared for patients with GBS and a rapidly progressive course requiring immediate, aggressive, and comprehensive treatment. We hope this response clarifies the methodology and considerations behind our case report. It underscores the importance of continued research and discussion within the scientific community to deepen our understanding of the neurological implications of COVID-19.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Authorship

IA and AD created the design and concept of the manuscript, revised published data, and edited the manuscript before submission. AM revised published data and edited the manuscript before submission. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Jumagaliyeva MB, Ayaganov DN, Abdelazim IA, Saparbayev SS, Tuychibaeva NM, Kurmambayev YJ. Relation between Guillain-Barré syndrome and Covid-19: Case-Series. *J Med Life*. 2023; 16(9): 1433-1435. doi: 10.25122/jml-2023-0275.
2. Lee DS, Lee SJ, Choe HS. Community-Acquired Urinary Tract Infection by *Escherichia coli* in the Era of Antibiotic Resistance. *Biomed Res Int*. 2018;2018:7656752. doi: 10.1155/2018/7656752.
3. Jumagaliyeva M, Ayaganov D, Saparbayev S, Tuychibaeva N, Abdelazim IA, Kurmambayev Y, *et al*. Possible mechanism of central nervous system targeting and neurological symptoms of the new-coronavirus (COVID-19): literature review. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*. 2023; 27(19): 9420-9428. doi: 10.26355/eurrev_202310_33970.
4. Trujillo Gittermann LM, Valenzuela Feris SN, von Oettinger Giacomani A. Relation between COVID-19 and Guillain-Barré syndrome in adults. *Systematic review. Neurologia (Engl Ed)*. 2020; 35(9): 646-654. doi: 10.1016/j.nrl.2020.07.004.
5. Jumagaliyeva MB, Ayaganov DN, Abdelazim IA, Saparbayev SS, Tuychibaeva NM, Kurmambayev YJ. Acute cerebrovascular events and inflammatory markers associated with COVID-19: An observational study. *J Med Life*. 2023; 16(10): 1482–1487. doi: 10.25122/jml-2023-0283.
6. Noioso CM, Bevilacqua L, Acerra GM, Della Valle P, Serio M, Vinciguerra C, *et al*. Miller Fisher syndrome: an updated narrative review. *Front Neurol*. 2023;14:1250774. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2023.1250774.
7. Brooks JA, McCudden C, Breiner A, Bourque PR. Causes of albuminocytological dissociation and the impact of age-adjusted cerebrospinal fluid protein reference intervals: a retrospective chart review of 2627 samples collected at tertiary care centre. *BMJ Open*. 2019; 9(2): e025348. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-025348.
8. Chiba A, Kusunoki S, Obata H, Machinami R, Kanazawa I. Serum anti-GQ1b IgG antibody is associated with ophthalmoplegia in Miller Fisher syndrome and Guillain-Barré syndrome: clinical and immunohistochemical studies. *Neurology*. 1993;43(10):1911-7. doi: 10.1212/vnl.43.10.1911.
9. Bae JS, Kim JK, Kim SH, Kim OK. Bilateral internal ophthalmoplegia as an initial sole manifestation of Miller Fisher syndrome. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2009;16(7):963-4. doi: 10.1016/j.jocn.2008.09.009.
10. Stalpers XL, Verhagen WI, Meulsteek J. Isolated bilateral ptosis as the only ophthalmologic sign in the Fisher variant of Guillain-Barré syndrome. *J Neuroophthalmol*. 2009;29(4):354-5. doi: 10.1097/WNO.0b013e3181b41445.